Journal of Advanced Healthcare and Medical Sciences (JAHMS)
J. Adv. Healthc. Med. Sci.
E-ISSN: xxxx-xxxx; P-ISSN: xxxx-xxxx
Website: https://www.jahms.org/

Original Article

Healthcare Disparities in Chronic Disease Management

Nida Hussain
2nd year MBBS, Peshawar Medical College.

Corresponding Author: Nida Hussain

Received: 2024-01-20 **Accepted**: 2024-02-18 **Published**: 2024-03-31

Abstract-

Background: Chronic diseases such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and chronic respiratory disorders are major contributors to global morbidity and mortality. Despite advances in healthcare, significant disparities persist in the management and outcomes of chronic diseases across different populations. ***Objective:*** To examine healthcare disparities in chronic disease management and assess the influence of socioeconomic, demographic, and healthcare access factors on disease control outcomes. **Materials and Methods:** A cross-sectional observational study was conducted among 300 patients with chronic diseases attending primary healthcare centers. Data were collected using structured questionnaires and medical record reviews. Variables included demographic characteristics, socioeconomic status, healthcare access, insurance coverage, medication adherence, and disease control indicators. Descriptive and comparative statistical analyses were performed. ***Results***: Among the participants, individuals from low-income groups demonstrated significantly poorer disease control (58%) compared with middle-income (72%) and high-income groups (85%). Rural residents reported lower healthcare accessibility and medication adherence than urban residents. Patients with health insurance showed better treatment adherence (81%) compared with uninsured individuals (62%). Significant associations were observed between socioeconomic status, healthcare access, and chronic disease outcomes ($p < 0.05$). ***Conclusion***: Healthcare disparities significantly influence chronic disease management outcomes. Socioeconomic inequalities, limited healthcare access, and inadequate insurance coverage contribute to poorer disease control among vulnerable populations. Targeted interventions and equitable healthcare policies are essential to reduce these disparities and improve health outcomes.

Keywords: *Healthcare disparities, chronic disease management, health equity, socioeconomic status, healthcare access, chronic illness.*

INTRODUCTION

Chronic diseases represent one of the greatest public health challenges worldwide, accounting for approximately 74% of all global deaths annually. Conditions such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and chronic kidney disease require long-term management and continuous healthcare support to prevent complications and improve quality of life (1). Despite substantial improvements in medical technology and healthcare delivery, disparities in chronic disease management remain prevalent across different socioeconomic and demographic groups.

Healthcare disparities refer to differences in healthcare access, utilization, quality, and outcomes that are closely linked with social, economic, environmental, and demographic disadvantages (2). These disparities often affect populations characterized by lower income, limited education, racial or ethnic minority status, rural residence, and inadequate health insurance coverage. Such inequalities contribute significantly to variations in disease prevalence, treatment adherence, and clinical outcomes.

Socioeconomic status is one of the most influential determinants of chronic disease management. Individuals with lower income often experience barriers to accessing healthcare services, including financial constraints, transportation difficulties, and reduced availability of specialized medical care (3). These barriers can delay diagnosis, hinder treatment adherence, and increase the risk of disease complications. Studies have demonstrated that patients with lower socioeconomic status are more likely to have poorly controlled diabetes and hypertension compared with individuals from higher-income groups (4).

Geographic disparities also play an important role in healthcare delivery. Rural populations frequently encounter shortages of healthcare professionals, limited healthcare infrastructure, and longer travel distances to medical facilities (5).

Consequently, patients residing in rural areas often experience reduced access to preventive care and chronic disease monitoring services. Such limitations contribute to poorer disease outcomes and increased healthcare expenditures.

Health insurance coverage is another critical factor influencing chronic disease management. Insurance facilitates access to preventive services, medications, and specialist consultations. Research indicates that uninsured individuals are more likely to postpone medical visits, discontinue prescribed treatments, and experience preventable hospitalizations (6). The absence of adequate insurance coverage can therefore exacerbate existing health inequalities.

Additionally, social determinants of health, including education, employment status, housing conditions, and health literacy, significantly affect individuals' ability to manage chronic illnesses effectively (7). Patients with limited health literacy may struggle to understand treatment instructions, medication regimens, and self-management strategies, leading to suboptimal disease control.

Addressing healthcare disparities is essential for achieving health equity and improving population health outcomes. Understanding the factors that contribute to inequalities in chronic disease management can guide policymakers and healthcare providers in developing targeted interventions. Therefore, this study aims to evaluate healthcare disparities in chronic disease management and examine the relationship between socioeconomic characteristics, healthcare access, and disease outcomes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional observational study was conducted to assess healthcare disparities in chronic disease management among adult patients diagnosed with chronic illnesses.

Study Setting

The study was carried out in primary healthcare centers and outpatient clinics serving both urban and rural populations over a six-month period.

Study Population

The study included patients diagnosed with one or more chronic diseases, including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, chronic respiratory disease, and chronic kidney disease.

Inclusion Criteria

- Adults aged 18 years and above.
- Diagnosed with a chronic disease for at least one year.
- Receiving ongoing medical treatment.
- Willing to participate and provide informed consent.

Exclusion Criteria

- Patients with acute medical emergencies.
- Individuals with severe cognitive impairment.
- Patients unwilling to participate.

Sample Size

A total of 300 participants were recruited using stratified random sampling techniques to ensure representation from different socioeconomic and geographic backgrounds.

Data Collection

Data were collected using:

1. Structured patient questionnaire.
2. Medical record review.
3. Healthcare utilization assessment form.

The questionnaire included:

- Demographic information.
- Educational status.
- Income level.
- Insurance coverage.
- Healthcare accessibility.
- Medication adherence.
- Frequency of healthcare visits.

Clinical indicators such as blood pressure, HbA1c levels, lipid profiles, and hospitalization history were extracted from medical records.

Study Variables

Independent Variables

- Age
- Gender
- Education
- Income
- Residence (urban/rural)
- Insurance status

Dependent Variables

- Disease control status
- Medication adherence
- Healthcare utilization
- Hospitalization frequency

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee. Participation was voluntary, and confidentiality of participant information was maintained throughout the study.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 26.0.

Statistical methods included:

- Frequencies and percentages.
- Mean and standard deviation.
- Chi-square test.
- Independent t-test.
- Logistic regression analysis.

A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Participants (N = 300)

Variable	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Male	162	54.0
Female	138	46.0
Urban Residence	180	60.0
Rural Residence	120	40.0
Insured	195	65.0
Uninsured	105	35.0
Low Income	110	36.7
Middle Income	125	41.7
High Income	65	21.6

Most participants were male (54%) and resided in urban areas (60%). Approximately one-third belonged to low-income households, while 35% lacked health insurance coverage.

Table 2. Disease Control According to Income Level

Income Group	Controlled Disease n (%)	Uncontrolled Disease n (%)
Low Income	64 (58.2)	46 (41.8)
Middle Income	90 (72.0)	35 (28.0)
High Income	55 (84.6)	10 (15.4)

Chi-square = 14.82, p = 0.001

Disease control improved significantly with increasing income levels. High-income participants demonstrated the highest rates of disease control.

Table 3. Medication Adherence by Insurance Status

Insurance Status	Adherent n (%)	Non-Adherent n (%)

Insured	158 (81.0)	37 (19.0)
Uninsured	65 (61.9)	40 (38.1)

Chi-square = 12.34, p = 0.002

Patients with health insurance exhibited significantly higher medication adherence compared with uninsured participants.

Table 4. Healthcare Access by Residence

Residence	Adequate Access (%)	Limited Access (%)
Urban	78.3	21.7
Rural	52.5	47.5

Chi-square = 16.55, p < 0.001

Rural residents experienced considerably lower access to healthcare services than urban residents, highlighting geographic disparities.

DISCUSSION

The present study demonstrates significant healthcare disparities in chronic disease management based on socioeconomic status, insurance coverage, and geographic location. These findings are consistent with previous research indicating that social determinants of health strongly influence chronic disease outcomes (2,3).

One of the key findings was the association between income level and disease control. Participants from higher-income groups achieved better disease management outcomes than those from lower-income groups. Financial stability enables patients to access healthcare services, purchase medications, maintain healthier lifestyles, and seek preventive care. Similar findings have been reported by Walker et al., who observed that socioeconomic disadvantage was associated with poorer diabetes outcomes and increased complications (8).

Insurance coverage emerged as another important determinant of chronic disease management. Insured individuals demonstrated significantly higher medication adherence than uninsured participants. Insurance reduces financial barriers to healthcare access and promotes continuity of care. Previous studies have shown that uninsured patients are more likely to delay treatment, skip medications, and experience preventable hospitalizations (6,9).

Geographic disparities were also evident in the study findings. Rural participants reported lower healthcare accessibility compared with urban residents. Limited healthcare infrastructure, shortages of healthcare professionals, and transportation barriers commonly affect rural populations (5). These challenges contribute to delayed diagnoses, inadequate follow-up care, and poorer disease outcomes. Similar observations have been documented in studies examining chronic disease management in underserved rural communities (10).

Health literacy and educational attainment may further contribute to disparities in chronic disease outcomes. Individuals with lower educational levels often face challenges understanding treatment recommendations and engaging in self-management practices. Effective communication between healthcare providers and patients is therefore essential to improving adherence and health outcomes (7).

The findings support the broader framework of social determinants of health proposed by the World Health Organization, which emphasizes that health outcomes are influenced by social and economic conditions in which people live and work (11). Addressing healthcare disparities requires interventions beyond clinical care alone. Strategies should include expansion of health insurance coverage, strengthening primary healthcare systems, increasing healthcare workforce distribution in underserved areas, and implementing community-based health education programs.

Healthcare technologies such as telemedicine may also help reduce disparities by improving access to specialist care for rural and underserved populations. Evidence suggests that telehealth interventions can enhance chronic disease monitoring, patient engagement, and treatment adherence (12).

Although this study provides valuable insights, certain limitations should be acknowledged. The cross-sectional design limits causal inference, and self-reported measures may introduce recall bias. Future longitudinal studies are recommended to further explore the mechanisms underlying healthcare disparities and evaluate intervention effectiveness.

CONCLUSION

Healthcare disparities remain a major challenge in chronic disease management. Socioeconomic status, insurance coverage, and geographic location significantly influence healthcare access, medication adherence, and disease control outcomes. Low-income, uninsured, and rural populations experience disproportionately poorer health outcomes. Policymakers and healthcare providers should implement targeted strategies aimed at improving healthcare accessibility, affordability, and

equity. Addressing these disparities is essential for enhancing chronic disease management and achieving better population health outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases. Geneva: WHO; 2023.
2. Healthy People 2030. Health disparities. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2023.
3. Braveman P, Gottlieb L. The social determinants of health: it's time to consider causes of causes. Public Health Rep. 2014;129(Suppl 2):19-31.
4. Hill-Briggs F, Adler NE, Berkowitz SA, Chin MH, Gary-Webb TL, Navas-Acien A, et al. Social determinants of health and diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2021;44(1):258-79.
5. National Academies of Sciences. Achieving rural health equity and well-being. Washington DC: National Academies Press; 2018.
6. Sommers BD, Gawande AA, Baicker K. Health insurance coverage and health outcomes. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(6):586-93.
7. Berkman ND, Sheridan SL, Donahue KE, Halpern DJ, Crotty K. Low health literacy and health outcomes. Ann Intern Med. 2011;155(2):97-107.
8. Walker RJ, Smalls BL, Campbell JA, Strom Williams JL, Egede LE. Impact of social determinants of health on outcomes for type 2 diabetes. Curr Diab Rep. 2014;14(6):1-11.
9. McWilliams JM. Health consequences of uninsurance among adults in the United States. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169(1):1-13.
10. Probst JC, Eberth JM, Crouch E. Structural urbanism contributes to poorer health outcomes for rural America. Health Aff. 2019;38(12):1976-84.
11. World Health Organization. Social determinants of health. Geneva: WHO; 2022.
12. Timpel P, Oswald S, Schwarz PEH, Harst L. Mapping the evidence on telemedicine interventions in chronic disease management. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(8):e2003.